Total War or Bust: The Barack Obama Prerogative

My fellow Americans, friends in Russia, and in the rest of the world - President Barack is going to get Syria’s Assad out, or kill some more of us trying. The Arab Spring the Western alliance created cannot be complete without Assad being ousted, and without perpetuating Middle Eastern chaos.

Syria’s suffering may only have just begun, but the question is, “Can anyone or anything stop Barack Obama this time?”

When Russian President Vladimir Putin wrote an appeal to the people of America in the New York Times in 2013, many of us knew we were living in fascinating times. What appeared to some to be a Machiavellian bit of PR coercion was to other people a clear sign of a major role reversal. On reading it, I remember thinking to myself, “Why does Putin seem more American than his American counterparts?” News today Barack Obama has managed to find another way to kill more Syrians, and President Bashar Hafez al-Assad, it affords Putin still more peacemaking opportunities. The neo-cons in Washington are now “all-in” on killing off Israel’s worst enemy, and busting Russia’s only fort in the Middle East.

Kill, Kill, Kill - “Get Some” Democracy

Betting to blast to smithereens the remaining slices of gristly human meat holding Syria’s society together, Obama has once again bypassed Congress to over extend presidential war powers. To find out the gist, read Daniel McAdams in this Ron Paul Institute laying out the psychotic battle plan. McAdams comments on Friday’s idiotic military operation that made use of US proxy fighters Division 30 to “assist” Syria and Iraq in beheading the ISIL beast:

“Tasked with fighting alongside al-Qaeda's Syrian franchise, the Nusra Front, Washington's newest proxy army in Syria was expected be welcomed by the group. Instead, Washington's proxies, known as Division 30, were attacked by the Nusra Front with several fighters taken hostage.”

Focused now on Syria, the history of this country’s role versus Israel tells us something of today’s conflicts. Israel’s greatest rival historically, Syria seen from a totally objective point of view is far different than the last 50 years of US-Israeli propaganda. One historical footnote here, had Anwar Sadat’s Egypt not halted its assault on Israel during the Yom Kippur War in 1973, Assad’s father’s forces would have certainly have overrun the Israelis once and for all. Then, only a direct intervention by US or NATO forces could have saved Israel. That is, unless perhaps Tel Aviv came out of the nuclear closet to strike at Damascus. It was Bashar Hafez al-Assad’s father who was the key mastermind of destroying a bitter enemy, and as we see now the hatred goes both ways. Enter the Obama quotient, an the “Nobel” warrior poet.
Website Updates - Not Obama’s Strong Suit

For clues as to the Obama administration’s intentions, the original policy on Syria still stands on the White House website. Maybe the staff will take this down later, but for now the URL structure of Obama’s Syria policy reads like provocation backed with “I say so” credibility. In typical arrogant fashion, Obama’s PR group drafted out rhetoric that took partial credit for Vladimir Putin’s peaceful initiative, while as the same time casting dispersion on the Assad government, without a shred of proof he had used chemical weapons on his own people. To quote from the Syria section:

“Just a few months ago, Syria had one of the largest chemical weapons stockpiles in the world – including mustard and sarin – and Bashar al-Assad was using these awful weapons to kill his own people. In addition, given the situation on the ground in Syria, this dangerous arsenal was at risk of capture by or transfer into the hands of extremists.”

I wonder if the reader caught something, I only just now did? Was Washington worried back then that their own ISIL creation might capture deadly sarin gas to unleash on the world? Now there is a “wow” moment for you. The White House “exceptionalism” goes on to place Obama’s America first in peaceful détente, and we see the just deserts good neo-cons receive in the announcement The Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) received not only the Nobel Prize, but the Obama one as well. Here’s the White House Accolade from the horse’s mouth:

“The OPCW’s central role in this ongoing effort was highlighted when it was honored with the 2013 Nobel Peace Prize last month. The people behind the scenes are brave and dedicated, and so we applaud the OPCW and UN personnel who have taken great personal risks to get the job done.”

Vladimir Putin and the Russian initiative received no Nobel, no battle ribbons were conferred, only one puny mention of Armageddon being averted was uttered. Let’s turn, for the moment, to Mr. Putin’s words intended to halt a preemptive invasion of Syria back in September of 2013:

“The potential strike by the United States against Syria, despite strong opposition from many countries and major political and religious leaders, including the pope, will result in more innocent victims and escalation, potentially spreading the conflict far beyond Syria’s borders. A strike would increase violence and unleash a new wave of terrorism. It could undermine multilateral efforts to resolve the Iranian nuclear problem and the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and further destabilize the Middle East and North Africa. It could throw the entire system of international law and order out of balance.”

God No – Not a Thousand Years of Obama!

What is not laid out in Putin’s historic NYT’s piece, is that the intervention by Israeli and Washington interests was already underway in the form of insurgency and revolution building. Arab Spring had not yet forced Syria to succumb and fall into chaos, so the US had to “double down”, as Obama is so fond of saying. The insurgents built by the Pentagon, the CIA, and other arms of the western military alliance’s war machine, they were already tearing the people of Syria apart. This Zero Hedge piece lays some of this out, but there are countless breadcrumbs leading to a Bush and an Obama CIA mess. The short version of our US policy story in the last 14 years is, America uses chaos and killing better than the Nazis ever did. Let’s remember, the 1,000 year Reich was destroyed in just a little over 5 years. This time though, there are no “good guys” in the Western Hemisphere to ride to the rescue. It looks like Russia, China, India and some other emerging societies, may have to go it alone. That is unless, Europe comes to its senses soon. In a weekly address to the American people concerning the Russia intervention and détente, Barack Obama proclaimed it was the threat of military force by the United States that created the “peaceful” solution to Syria’s chemical weapons situation.

Am I calling Obama a Nazi? No, I am saying he is probably worse than a Nazi. If here is a national socialist movement in the world, if a Fourth Reich could ever prevail, America as an idea would need to be obliterated. And it looks like Barack Obama’s prime directive was to initiate this. At no time in history have “American values” been more challenged from within, and from outside the country. White picket fences, they scarcely even exist anymore.

While it pains this American to say it, there seems to be no other reasonable explanation for the idiocy going on these days. Without diving off into a vast conspiratorial essay, reading what right-wing British journalist Simon
Heffer wrote in 2011, we find a big problem inside America’s European partner too:

"Where Hitler failed by military means to conquer Europe, modern Germans are succeeding through trade and financial discipline. Welcome to the Fourth Reich"

Attempting to piece all this together, there’s something wholly sinister, even horrible rearing its ugly head here. While we may wish to connect Syria and Arab Spring to an international gas and oil distribution scheme, Barack Obama’s role and his demands our keen attention. Syria is in the way of the Qatari pipeline, and the Israelis do hate Syria with a passion, but there’s something bigger afoot. Parallels present themselves, for Obama and with Hitler, Stalin, and other wild eyed and crazed despots. For illustration, let’s turn to the diaries of Third Reich expert Victor Klemperer, who was the foremost expert on the language of totalitarianism.

In the book The War of the World, by Niall Ferguson, Klemperer is cited from his works award winning works LTI (language of the Third Reich), and LQI (Language of the Fourth Reich):

“The LTI (lingua tertii imperii – Language of the Third Reich) lives on... In Stalin’s speeches, extracts of which regularly appear, Hitler and Ribbentrop are cannibals and monsters. In the articles of Stalin, the supreme commander of the Soviet Union is the most brilliant general of all times and the most brilliant of all men living... It is impossible to say just how often I hear “orientation”, “action”, “militant”. All that’s missing now is “fanatical”...the same, the very same words - LTI = LQI!!! “align”, “militant”, “true democracy” etc. etc. “

Take a moment to absorb all this please.

Looking back to the Arab Spring, this series of uprisings did not just happen. They were planned, carried out, and supported for the purposes of thrusting the Arab world into chaos. The scheme was typical for any hegemony to achieve any kind of rapid paradigm shift in control.

Maybe the reason for instigating this chaos was fear of Russia and other nations approaching economic and societal parity with the western powers. It really makes no difference why though, it’s obvious American “think tank” provocateurs seized the initiative. This seems to have occurred about the time Vladimir Putin proclaimed his Vladivostok to Lisbon doctrine back in 2010. “Doubling down” by America, in this frame at least, is an act of desperation. Faced with a virtual certainty the suppressed nations of the world would emerge, reigniting the Cold War seemed well advised to some. However valid this idea is, the idealistic trends and the language suggest something more for me.

Putin is, hourly, daily, weekly, and monthly ridiculed via official channels and the various controlled media mechanisms. Anyone who disagrees with Obama’s policies has their “arms twisted” as if in some sick schoolyard bully game. America has assumed the role of aggressor, and Russia the defenders of the faithful. This is the reality for much of the world today.

Meanwhile, in America hate is brewed and stewed. Terror, the big boogey man, it’s deployed to steal freedoms. Racism is hot brewed, Russophobia, and gay versus homophobic wounds have had salt poured into them, city versus city, state versus state, Hispanic versus English, at every turn the United States is weakened. This is true on the world stage too, as Obama literally crucifies freedom’s reputation before the whole world.

The moral of this story for Washington’s elite is: Assad has to join Muammar Gaddafi, Syria must cease to exist, and finally Russia has to be carved up into little, insignificant states in order for the Fourth Reich to emerge? Well perhaps, but Putin won’t go down so easy. Who’s going to stop Obama and his minions from destroying ripping Syria to pieces utterly?

Watch Vladimir Putin, I’ll double down on that bet.
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